

1 THOMAS E. PEREZ
2 Assistant Attorney General
3 PATRICIA A. SUMNER
4 Trial Attorney
5 U.S. Department of Justice
6 601 D Street NW, 5th Floor
7 Washington, DC 20530
8 Telephone: (202) 616-4719
9 Facsimile: (202) 514-8336

10 DANIEL G. BOGDEN
11 United States Attorney
12 NICHOLAS D. DICKINSON
13 Assistant United States Attorney
14 333 Las Vegas Boulevard
15 Suite 5000
16 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
17 (702) 388-6336
18 (Fax) 388-6418

19 Attorneys for the **UNITED STATES**

20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

21 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

22 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

12-CR-0063-PMP-RJJ

23 Plaintiff,

24 vs.

25 ROSS HACK,
26 MELISSA HACK, and
27 LELAND JONES

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
EXTEND DEADLINE FOR
GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE TO
DEFENDANT MELISSA HACK'S
MOTION FOR REVOCATION OF
DETENTION**

28 Defendants.

29
30 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the Defendant,
31 MELISSA HACK, by and through her counsel, E. Brent Bryson, Esq. of the law offices of E. Brent
32 Bryson, Ltd., and the Plaintiff, the United States of America, by and through its attorneys, Patricia
33 A. Sumner and Nicholas Dickinson, that the deadline for the government's response to defendant
34 Melissa Hack's Motion for Revocation of Detention currently scheduled for December 31, 2012,
35 be vacated and extended to January 9, 2013, a date mutually agreeable to the parties, in order to

1 allow the government sufficient time to prepare a response.

2 This is the government's first request for an extension of time to respond to the
3 Defendant's motion.

4 DATED this 21st day of December 2012.

5

6 Respectfully submitted,

7

8 DANIEL G. BOGDEN
United States Attorney

9

10

\s\
11 NICHOLAS DICKINSON
Assistant United States Attorney

12

\s\
13 PATRICIA A. SUMNER
Trial Attorney
U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Rights Division
601 D Street NW
Washington, DC 20530
14 (202) 616-4719

15

\s\
16 E. BRENT BRYSON, Esq.
3202 West Charleston Blvd.
Las Vegas, NV 89102
17 (702) 364-1234
18 Attorney for Defendant

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

12-CR-0063-PMP-RJJ

ROSS HACK,
MELISSA HACK, and
LELAND JONES

Defendants.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER

13 Based upon the pending stipulation of counsel and good cause appearing therefore, the
14 Court finds that:

15 1. The Defendant, Melissa Hack, does not object to the government's request for an
16 extension of time to file its responses to the Defendant's Motion for Revocation of Detention.

17 2. That it is in the interests of justice to allow counsel for the government sufficient time
18 to adequately prepare a response to the Defendant's motion, and that a miscarriage of justice
19 may occur if the deadline is not extended, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

20 3. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time
21 within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United
22 States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), when considering the factors under Title 18, United States
23 Code Sections 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

24 4. This is the first request for an extension of the deadline for the government's response
25 to the Defendant's motion.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

28 Based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the Court makes the following conclusions of law.

1 1. The ends of justice served by granting said extension outweigh the best interest of the
2 public and the Defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said extension would be
3 likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the
4 opportunity with which to be able to effectively and thoroughly respond to the Defendant's
5 motion, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

6 2. The extension sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18,
7 United States Code Section 3161(h)(7)(A), when considering the factors under Title 18, United
8 States Code Sections 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), 3161(h)(B)(7)(ii), and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

ORDER

11 Based upon the Stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefore;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the government's response to the Defendant's Motion for Revocation of Detention must be submitted to the Court by January 9, 2013.

IT IS FURTHER HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendant's reply to the government's response to the Defendant's motion must be submitted to the Court by January 16, 2013.

16 | DATED this 26th day of December, 2012.

17
18 

Senior United States District Judge